

Integrated Application of Poultry Manure and Chemical Fertiliser on Soil Chemical Properties and Nutrient Uptake of Maize and Soybean

M.G. Almaz, R.A. Halim, M.Y. Martini and A.W. Samsuri

*Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang,
Selangor, Malaysia*

ABSTRACT

Low soil fertility due to monoculture cereal production systems and inadequate fertiliser application are some of the major causes for declining crop production in developing countries. Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilisers is an option to alleviate soil fertility problem as it utilises available organic and inorganic nutrients for sustainable agricultural production and productivity. A field experiment was conducted in 2014 at Universiti Putra Malaysia to evaluate the effect of the integrated application of poultry manure and inorganic fertiliser on soil chemical properties and nutrient uptake of maize and soybean in maize-soybean intercropping. Treatments comprised combinations of three cropping systems (sole maize, sole soybean, and maize + soybean) and four fertilisation regimes (control, 100% NPK, 100% poultry manure (PM) and 50% NPK + 50% PM). The experiment was laid out in a randomised complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Results showed that either growing soybean alone or as an intercrop with maize resulted in increased soil organic matter (OM) ($P < 0.05$), total N ($P < 0.0001$), soil available P ($P < 0.0001$) and soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) ($P < 0.05$). Intercropping maize with soybean significantly reduced N, P and K uptake of soybean ($P < 0.0001$), but uptake of N, P and K by maize was not significantly ($P > 0.05$) affected by intercropping. Application of 100% PM and integrated application of 50% NPK+50% PM gave significantly higher soil pH ($P < 0.001$), soil OM ($P < 0.0001$), soil total N ($P < 0.0001$), soil available P ($P < 0.0001$), soil exchangeable K ($P < 0.001$) and soil CEC ($P < 0.0001$) compared to control and 100% NPK. For both maize and soybeans, the highest uptake of N, P and K was observed from the integrated application of 50% NPK+50% PM ($P < 0.0001$). It can be concluded that integrated application of organic and inorganic fertiliser is the best option to improve soil chemical properties and nutrient uptake of maize and soybean.

Keywords: Intercropping, chemical fertiliser, NPK, poultry manure, soil chemical properties.

INTRODUCTION

Low soil fertility due to monoculture cereal production systems, inadequate fertiliser application, biomass removal, soil erosion, nutrient losses through runoff and leaching are recognised as some of the major causes for declining

*Corresponding author : ridzwan@upm.edu.my

crop production in developing countries (Negassa *et al.* 2007). Application of inorganic fertilisers is considered the most efficient way to reverse soil nutrient depletion and improve crop production (Bationo *et al.*, 2007). However, the use of inorganic fertilisers in developing countries is insignificant as most of the smallholder farmers cannot afford even a single bag to apply to their crops (Tesfa *et al.*, 2001). Continuous use of chemical fertilisers in intensive cropping systems leads to increased soil acidity and nutrient imbalance which adversely affects soil health due to their susceptibility to losses through gaseous form and by leaching (Amoah *et al.*, 2012). These effects can be alleviated through the use of organic fertilisers which can improve soil physical and chemical properties. Palm *et al.* (1997) reported that organic manure improved organic matter and soil nutrient availability (N, P and K) through the total nutrients added by controlling net mineralisation-immobilisation patterns. Poultry manure is considered as one of the best organic manures as it contains both macro-and micronutrients (Dekisissa *et al.*, 2008). However, application of organic manure alone to sustain soil and crop productivity is inadequate due to their relatively low nutrient content and slow release of nutrients (Negassa *et al.*, 2007).

Hence, neither the chemical fertilisers nor the organic sources can exclusively achieve the sustainable productivity of soils as well as crops under highly intensive cropping systems. To achieve sustainability of soil fertility and crop productivity, integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilisers together with other fertilisation practices like intercropping with legumes are very important. Integrated use of poultry manure and inorganic fertiliser has been shown to improve soil pH, organic matter content, cation exchange capacity and soil N, P, and K status (Amusan *et al.*, 2011).

Maize (*Zea mays* L) and soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) are important cereal and legume crops in the world respectively. Maize has high yield potential compared to any other cereal crops and therefore has a high requirement for nutrients, especially nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) has a capability of supplying nitrogen for its growth and component cereals through symbiotic nitrogen fixation, thus reducing the requirement for costly and environmentally polluting nitrogen fertilisers (Zerihun *et al.*, 2013). Maize takes up high amounts of N, P and K from the soil, while soybean derives a significant part of its N uptake from biological nitrogen fixation and P and K uptake from the soil (Roy *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, it is important to ensure that the overall supply of soil N, P and K is sufficient for high yields (Roy *et al.*, 2006). An integrated application of organic and inorganic fertilisers appears to be an ideal method to meet nutrient requirements of crops rather than a sole application of either source. The synergistic effect of organic and inorganic sources on mineralisation and continued supply of essential nutrients improved soil chemical properties and uptake of nutrients, thus enhancing crop yield (Adeniyi and Ojeniyi, 2005). Therefore, this study was aimed to evaluate the effects of integrated application of poultry manure and inorganic fertiliser on soil

chemical properties and nutrient uptake of maize and soybean in maize-soybean intercropping.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

An experiment was conducted at Field 2, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia (latitude 3: 02' N, longitude of 101: 42' E and altitude 31 m above sea level. Total annual rainfall in the year 2014 was approximately 1623.5 mm. Mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures were 24.5°C and 32.2°C, respectively, while the mean relative humidity was 78.9%.

The experimental soil was classified as Bungor series (Typic Paleudult) according to USDA soil taxonomy. Data on initial physico-chemical properties of soil at the experimental site are presented in Table 1. The data indicated that the soils were sandy loam, slightly acidic, low in organic matter (OM), total nitrogen (N), available phosphorus (P), cation exchange capacity (CEC) and exchangeable potassium (K).

TABLE 1
Initial chemical properties of the soil used in the experiment

| Initial chemical properties of the soil used in the experiment (n=3) | |
|--|--------------------|
| Soil Properties | Value (\pm S.E) |
| pH | 5.62 \pm 0.19 |
| Total N(%) | 0.08 \pm 0.01 |
| Available P (mg kg ⁻¹) | 16.0 \pm 1.95 |
| K(cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 0.33 \pm 0.12 |
| CEC(cmol _c kg ⁻¹) | 14.5 \pm 0.37 |
| OM(%) | 2.2 \pm 0.32 |
| Texture | Sandy loam |
| | Clay (%) |
| | 18.98 |
| | Sand (%) |
| | 65.73 |
| | Silt (%) |
| | 15.29 |

CEC = Cation Exchange Capacity, OM= organic matter, S.E= standard error

Experimental Design and Treatments

The experimental design was a three by four factorial combinations of cropping systems (sole maize, sole soybean, and combination of maize + soybean), and fertilisation (control, 100% NPK, 50% NPK + 50% poultry manure (PM) and 100% PM), laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. A plot size of 3.6 m x 3 m was used for all treatments. The sole maize and soybean were seeded in six rows spaced 60 cm between rows in the monoculture plots. Maize and soybean were intercropped in 1:1 alternate row, i.e. 60 cm row spaced between maize crop and soybean was planted between two rows of maize crops. The spacing between plants for maize was 25 cm and for soybean was 15 cm.

The amount of PM was based on N equivalent and applied on a dry weight basis two weeks before planting. The amounts of PM in sole maize and intercropping plot were 3 t ha⁻¹ and in sole soybean plot, it was 0.4 t ha⁻¹. The 50% PM treatment received half the rate of the PM treatment. The chemical composition of PM is presented in Table 2. The rates of N:P₂O₅:K₂O for 100% NPK treatment were, 120:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ (N:P₂O₅:K₂O) and 20:60:40 kg ha⁻¹ (N:P₂O₅:K₂O) for maize and soybean, respectively. The intercropped plots received the recommended fertilizer rate of maize (N : P₂O₅ : K₂O at 120:60:40 kg ha⁻¹). The full dose of P and K and one-third of N fertilizer were applied at planting time. The remaining two-thirds of N fertilizer was applied at the 8-leaf stage of maize, while for soybean plots, the entire dose of NPK was applied at planting. All other agronomic practices were kept uniform for all treatments.

Soil Sampling and Chemical Analysis

Composite initial soil samples at a depth of 0-30 cm were taken from ten random spots within the experimental site prior to treatment application and after harvest from each plot. The composite samples were air-dried, sieved to pass through a 2-mm mesh and analysed for selected physico-chemical properties, including texture (percentage of sand, silt, and clay), pH, total nitrogen, organic matter content, available phosphorus, exchangeable K and cation exchange capacity (CEC).

TABLE 2:
Chemical composition of the poultry manure (PM) used

| Nutrient Element | Percent content |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| pH | 7.10 |
| N | 4.50 |
| P | 1.08 |
| K | 1.66 |
| Ca | 1.43 |
| Mg | 0.60 |

The soil organic carbon content, total nitrogen and sulfur were determined by dry combustion with CHNS LECO analyzer (Jimenez and Ladho, 1993). Soil pH was determined using the glass electrode pH meter in a 1:2.5 soil to water ratio (Van Reeuwijk, 1992), and CEC was measured by ammonium acetate method (NH₄OAc) by saturating the soil with 1N NH₄OAc and displacing it with 1N K₂SO₄ (Chapman, 1965). Exchangeable K was extracted with 1N NH₄OAc at pH 7 and the extract was read using an atomic absorption Spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, Massachusetts, USA). Available phosphorus was determined by the Bray-II method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) and determined by an auto-analyzer (Lachat instrument, WI, USA). Soil texture was determined by the pipette method (Day, 1965). The texture class was determined using the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) soil textural triangle.

Plant Sampling and Chemical Analysis

At harvest, maize and soybean plants were sampled for nutrient analysis. Five plants were sampled from the middle rows of each plot. The plant samples were oven-dried at 70 °C for 72 hours and dry weight was recorded. The grain and stover samples were grinded separately to pass through a 1mm sieve in a Thomas-Wiley laboratory Mill (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ). The ground materials were digested in H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ using a Seal Digestion Block (Foss Tecator, Hilleroed, Denmark) at a temperature of 285 °C in accordance with the method described by AOAC (1995). The N and P contents in the digested samples were determined using an autoanalyser (Lachat instrument, WI, USA) and K content was determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Massachusetts, USA).

After the determination of leaf, stem and grain N, P and K contents, uptake of N, P, and K amounts were calculated (Sharma *et al.*, 2012).

$$\text{N,P,or K uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{N or P or K content (\%)} \times \text{dry matter (kg/ha)}}{100}$$

$$\text{Total N, P or K uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \text{Leaf N, P or K uptake} + \text{Stem N, P or K uptake} + \text{Grain N, P or K uptake.}$$

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure using SAS version 9.3. Least Significance Difference (LSD) at 95% of significance level was used for mean separation determination. Pearson correlation was used to assess relationships between nutrient uptake of maize and soybean and chemical properties of the soil.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil Chemical Properties

Soil pH, soil organic matter, soil total N, soil available P, soil exchangeable K and soil CEC were significantly affected ($P>0.0001$) by fertilisation after harvest. Soil OM ($P<0.05$), soil total N ($P<0.001$), soil available P ($P<0.001$), and soil CEC ($P<0.05$) were significantly affected by cropping system. However, soil pH and soil exchangeable K were not significantly ($P>0.05$) affected by cropping system (Table 3). An interaction effect was observed between cropping system and fertilisation of the soil CEC ($P<0.0001$) (Table 4).

Application of 100% PM and 50 % NPK + 50% PM resulted in soil pH increasing from 5.40 to 6.82 and 6.63 in 100% PM and 50% NPK + 50% PM treatment, respectively. Inorganic treatment (100% NPK) showed similar pH with control (Table 3). The higher Ca content of the PM may be responsible for the increase in soil pH (Table 2). In addition, decomposition of organic manure added

TABLE 3
Effect of cropping system and fertilisation on soil chemical properties, including soil pH, organic matter (OM), total N, available P, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg and cation exchange capacity (CEC)

| Cropping system (C) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Treatment | pH | OM (%) | TN (%) | Av. P (mg kg ⁻¹) | Ex. K (cmol.kg ⁻¹) | Ca (mg kg ⁻¹) | Mg (mg.kg ⁻¹) | CEC (cmol.kg ⁻¹) |
| Sole Maize | 6.31a | 2.13b | 0.13b | 21.2b | 0.31a | 2.76a | 0.86a | 15.9b |
| Sole Soybean | 6.32a | 2.56a | 0.22a | 22.4b | 0.32a | 3.12a | 0.77ab | 17.9a |
| Intercropping | 6.21a | 2.57a | 0.17ab | 26.3a | 0.35a | 2.80a | 0.74b | 17.7a |
| LSD _{0.05} | 0.39 ^{ns} | 0.19 | 0.05 | 4.05 | 0.07 ^{ns} | 0.12 ^{ns} | 0.12 | 1.29 |
| P-value | 0.91 | 0.03 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.007 |
| Fertilization (F) | | | | | | | | |
| Control | 5.40b | 2.13b | 0.11c | 15.6c | 0.26b | 2.20c | 0.65c | 12.9d |
| 100% NPK | 5.81b | 2.19b | 0.14c | 18.5c | 0.30b | 2.02c | 0.72c | 14.7c |
| 100% PM | 6.82a | 2.96a | 0.24a | 36.0a | 0.36a | 5.12a | 1.02a | 22.7a |
| 50% NPK+ 50% PM | 6.63a | 2.74a | 0.17b | 25.7b | 0.39a | 3.15b | 0.86b | 18.4b |
| PM | | | | | | | | |
| LSD _{0.05} | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 4.49 | 0.50 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 1.5 |
| p-value | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.007 | 0.01 | <0.0001 |
| C*F | 0.83 ^{ns} | 0.07 ^{ns} | 0.95 ^{ns} | 0.62 ^{ns} | 0.06 ^{ns} | 0.97 ^{ns} | 0.08 ^{ns} | <0.001 |
| CV (%) | 7.1 | 18.8 | 21.3 | 9.00 | 21.2 | 26 | 18 | 8.9 |

Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different (LSD_{0.05}), ns = non-significant at $\alpha=0.05$, CV (%) = coefficient of variation, Av. P= available P, EX. K= exchangeable K

basic plant nutrients to the soil that contributed to the increase of in soil pH. This result is in agreement with Islam *et al.* (2013) who reported that the application of PM raised the pH of the soil.

Significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) OM was observed in intercropping and sole soybean treatment than in the sole maize treatment (Table 3). Ali *et al.* (2015) found higher soil OM in sole soybean treatment than in sole maize. In addition, Matusso *et al.* (2014) reported that soil OM in intercropping treatment was higher than in sole maize treatment. Among fertilisation treatments, soil OM was significantly higher ($P < 0.0001$) in the 100% PM and 50% NPK + 50% PM treatments than in sole 100% NPK and control treatment. An increase in soil OM in the PM treatment may be due to the effects of manure, which act as the storehouse of different plant nutrients. Zhao *et al.* (2009) reported that the application of organic manure singly or in combination with inorganic fertilizer resulted in higher OM content than the exclusive application of chemical fertilisers (NPK).

Significantly higher soil N was observed in sole soybean treatment than in the sole maize treatment (Table 3). In which ever plot soybean crop was grown, total N was significantly higher ($P < 0.001$) compared to maize crop. The increase in the total nitrogen was probably due to the ability of the soybean to fix atmospheric N in the soil through symbiotic N fixation. Matusso *et al.* (2014) reported that mineral N was significantly affected by the intercropping treatment and the highest N was observed from a sole soybean treatment.

Regarding fertilisation treatments, total N was significantly higher in the 100% PM and 50% NPK + 50% PM treatment than in 100% NPK and control treatment ($P < 0.001$). Application of 100% NPK and control treatment were not significantly different between each other in soil total N. This may be due to the N from inorganic fertilizer being rapidly used up by the plant or could have leached (Masaka *et al.*, 2015). This finding is in agreement with Datt *et al.* (2013) who observed low N in the inorganic fertilizer treatment. The high total N in PM treatment was due to the slow release of N during mineralisation of PM. Similarly, higher total N in integrated treatments may be due to the fact that integration of organic and chemical fertilisers increased the mineralisation owing to the narrow C/N ratio. This result is in agreement with Ayeni and Adetunji (2010) who reported PM and their combinations with NPK fertilizer increased soil OM, N, P and K in soil.

Available P increased from 21.2 and 22.4mg/kg in mono crop of maize and soybean respectively, to 26.3mg/kg in intercropping which may be due to more efficient utilisation of plant nutrients in intercropping than in mono cropping (Miyazawa *et al.*, 2010). As there is a synergistic relation of N with P, K, and S, this might have helped in increasing the soil available P in sole soybean treatment than in sole maize treatment (Vidyavathi *et al.*, 2012b). The result is supported by Khan *et al.* (2014) who found that intercropping can increase soil available nutrients and improve soil fertility compared to sole cropping. Application of 100% PM resulted in available P that was significantly higher than in control and 50% PM + 50% NPK treatments ($P < 0.0001$). The combined application of

inorganic fertilizer and PM gave higher soil P concentration compared to the control and 100% NPK fertilizer treatments. An increase in soil available P in 100% PM treatments may be due to mineralisation of organic P and production of organic acids that makes soil P more available and reduces P fixation. This result corroborates the findings of Islam *et al.* (2013), who explained that soils treated with either organic fertilizers or, inorganic fertilizers or combination of these fertilizers, higher values of available P will be obtained compared to the control treatments. Boateng *et al.* (2006) also reported that the application of PM increased soil available P by 31% compared to the control treatment.

Exchangeable K was significantly higher in 100% PM and 50% NPK+50% PM treatments than control treatment ($P < 0.0001$). The increase in soil exchangeable K in a combined application of inorganic and organic fertilizer treatments may be due to the direct of potassium addition in the soil K pool. This result is supported by Islam *et al.* (2013) who reported that soil exchangeable K increased when organic or both organic and chemical fertilizers were applied. Ahmad *et al.* (2013) also reported that the highest soil OM, total N, available P and exchangeable K, after maize was harvested, was from treatments receiving organic sources with 50% of recommended NPK fertilizers.

In the sole maize cropping system, soil CEC under application of 100% PM was not significantly different ($P > 0.05$) from combined application of 50% NPK + 50% PM (Table 4). However, in sole soybean and maize + soybean intercropping system, soil CEC under application of 100% PM was significantly higher ($P < 0.0001$) than in combined application of 50% NPK + 50% PM. The increase in soil CEC with PM application was due to the addition of basic cations in the soil such as K, Mg and Ca from the decomposition of poultry manure (Table 3). Adekayode and Ogunkoyaka (2011) reported higher soil total N, available P, exchangeable K and CEC in organic compost treatments compared to NPK fertilizer treatments.

TABLE 4
Interaction effects of cropping systems and fertilisation on soil cation exchange capacity (CEC)

| Fertilization | CEC (cmol _e kg ⁻¹) | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| | Cropping system | | |
| | Sole Maize | Sole Soybean | Maize + Soybean |
| Control | 12.8b | 12.8c | 13.1c |
| 100% NPK | 13.8b | 13.8c | 13.6c |
| 100% PM | 18.2a | 26.3a | 23.7a |
| 50% NPK+50% PM | 16.6a | 18.8b | 20.3b |
| LSD _{0.05} | 2.67 | 2.95 | 2.06 |
| p-value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

Means followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different (LSD_{0.05})

Further, the increased soil CEC in sole soybean treatment compared to sole maize treatment was associated with a rise in soil organic matter content in sole soybean treatments (Table 4). Bronick and Lal (2005) reported the positive effect of legume intercropping on soil CEC.

Nutrient Uptake of Maize and Soybean

Uptake of N, P and K of soybean was not significantly affected by cropping system ($P > 0.05$) (Table 6). However, N, P and K uptake by soybean was reduced ($P < 0.0001$) when intercropped with maize compared to monocropped soybean (Table 5) (Author: Table 5 should be cited before Table 6). The reduction of N uptake of soybean in an intercropping treatment may be due to the shading effect of the tall maize that limited photosynthetic assimilation of soybean which thereby indirectly influenced the process of nodulation or N fixation and (Table 6) N uptake. P and K uptake of soybean was reduced due to the more competitive ability of maize with respect to the uptake of nutrients from the rhizosphere, as maize had a greater root length than soybean. The result is in line with Matusso *et al.* (2013) who reported that N, P and K uptake by soybean was significantly higher in monocropping than intercropping. Similarly, Prajapat *et al.* (2015) reported that the cropping system adopted had a significant effect on N, P, and K, uptake of soybean.

Among fertilisation treatments, the highest uptake of N, P and K by maize and soybean was recorded in the application of 50% NPK + 50% PM ($P < 0.0001$) followed by sole application of 100% PM and 100% NPK (Table 5). In contrast, the control treatments had the lowest N, P, and K uptake. An increase in nutrient uptake in a combination of NPK and PM may be due to the increase in supply of NPK directly through the organic and inorganic source to the crop, the reduction in losses of nutrient from the soil solution and the increase in nutrient use efficiency (Dubey *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, the high nutrient uptake in combined application of 50% NPK + 50% PM treatment is attributed to the relative availability of N, P and K throughout the cropping seasons as the nutrients from inorganic sources were available to the crop in the early stages; in the later stages of crop growth, the slow and continuous release of nutrients from the organic source became available. Moreover, the highest N uptake of soybean in combined application of organic and inorganic fertiliser might be due to increased nodulation (Table 6). The result is in line with Tagoe *et al.* (2008) and Verde *et al.* (2013) who observed higher N, P, and K uptake by soybean under application of organic manure and in combination with inorganic fertiliser. Similarly, Shashidhar *et al.* (2009) and Vidyavathi *et al.* (2012a) reported that integrated fertilisation practices give significantly higher uptake of N, P and K by maize when compared to the sole application of inorganic and organic fertilisers.

TABLE 5:
Effect of cropping system and fertilisation on nutrient uptake of maize and soybean

| Treatment | N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Sole maize | 51.0a | 10.5a | 23.6a | – | – | – |
| Sole soybean | – | – | – | 52.5a | 38.1a | 46.9a |
| Maize + soybean | 47.8a | 10.4a | 21.1a | 38.5b | 23.9b | 33.4b |
| LSD _{0.05} | 5.4 ^{ns} | 1.78 ^{ns} | 3.2 ^{ns} | 3.8 | 6.6 | 3.7 |
| p-value | 0.78 | 0.31 | 0.76 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| Fertilization (F) | | | | | | |
| Control | 27.2c | 3.61c | 12.2c | 21.5c | 8.6c | 17.9c |
| 100% NPK | 49.1b | 10.5b | 24.8b | 49.8b | 33.3b | 43.1b |
| 100% PM | 51.4b | 11.2b | 22.3b | 49.9b | 37.4b | 45.3b |
| 50 % NPK+ 50% PM | 70.0a | 16.5a | 30.1a | 60.7a | 49.4a | 54.4a |
| LSD _{0.05} | 7.64 | 2.52 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 5.3 |
| p-value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| C*F | 0.35 ^{ns} | 0.38 ^{ns} | 0.20 ^{ns} | 0.57 ^{ns} | 0.14 ^{ns} | 0.12 ^{ns} |
| CV (%) | 12.49 | 19.41 | 16.4 | 9.5 | 20.1 | 10.6 |

Means in the same column followed by the same letters are not significantly different (LSD_{0.05}), ns= non-significant, ns = non-significant at $\alpha=0.05$, CV (%) = coefficient of variation

TABLE 6
Effect of cropping system and nutrient management on number of nodules and photosynthesis rate of soybean

| Treatment | Photosynthetic rate ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) | No. Nodules/plant |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Cropping system (C) | | |
| Sole soybean | 22.2a | 48.6a |
| Maize +soybean | 18.9b | 34.7b |
| LSD (p<0.05) | 1.53 | 4.7 |
| p-value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| Nutrient Management (N) | | |
| Control | 15.3c | 28.7d |
| 100% NPK | 21.8ab | 37.5c |
| 100% PM | 20.2b | 44.4b |
| 50 % NPK+ 50% PM | 23.2a | 56.0a |
| LSD (p<0.05) | 1.81 | 6.68 |
| p-value | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| C*N | 0.32 ^{ns} | 0.51 ^{ns} |

Application of sole NPK and PM increased the nutrient uptake over control, which implies that the application of NPK and PM either separately or in combination improves the availability of N, P and K to the maize and soybean. This may be due to the addition of organic manure which improved the soil properties, hence, enhancing N, P and K uptake. The chemical properties of soil were positively correlated with N, P and K uptake of maize (Table 7) and soybean (Table 8). This result is in agreement with Vidyavathi *et al.* (2012b) who reported increased nutrient uptake as soil properties improved. Moreover, the organic fertilizer might have supplied other nutrients which enhanced N, P and K uptake (Verma *et al.*, 2006).

TABLE 7
Pearson linear correlation coefficients between N, P and K uptake of maize and soil chemical properties

| | N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | pH | OM (%) | TN (%) | Av. P (mg kg ⁻¹) | Ex K (cmol _e kg ⁻¹) | CEC (cmol _e kg ⁻¹) |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| N uptake (kg ha⁻¹) | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| P uptake (kg ha⁻¹) | 0.99** (0.001) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| K uptake (kg ha⁻¹) | 0.97* (0.002) | 0.97* (0.02) | 1 | | | | | | |
| pH | 0.79 (0.20) | 0.79 (0.21) | 0.69 (0.30) | 1 | | | | | |
| OM (%) | 0.66 (0.30) | 0.66 (0.33) | 0.52 (0.47) | 0.98* (0.02) | 1 | | | | |
| TN (%) | 0.51 (0.49) | 0.52 (0.48) | 0.42 (0.57) | 0.91 (0.08) | 0.93 (0.06) | 1 | | | |
| AV. P (mg kg⁻¹) | 0.52 (0.48) | 0.53 (0.46) | 0.41 (0.58) | 0.93* (0.05) | 0.96* (0.03) | 0.99* (0.006) | 1 | | |
| EX. K (cmol_e kg⁻¹) | 0.93 (0.07) | 0.93 (0.07) | 0.83 (0.16) | 0.95* (0.26) | 0.88 (0.11) | 0.73 (0.29) | 0.77 (0.24) | 1 | |
| CEC (cmol_e kg⁻¹) | 0.58 (0.42) | 0.59 (0.40) | 0.48 (0.52) | 0.95* (0.04) | 0.97* (0.02) | 0.99* (0.009) | 0.99* (0.002) | 0.80* (0.01) | 1 |

Value within brackets is p-value, **, * significant level of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, TN= Total Nitrogen, AV. P= available phosphorous, Ex. K = exchangeable potassium

TABLE 8
Pearson linear correlation coefficients between N, P and K uptake of soybean and soil chemical properties

| | N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | pH | OM (%) | TN (%) | Av. P (mg kg ⁻¹) | Ex K (cmol.k g ⁻¹) | CEC (cmol.k kg ⁻¹) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | 0.99** (0.0005) | 1 | | | | | | | |
| K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | 0.99* (0.02) | 0.99* (0.02) | 1 | | | | | | |
| pH | 0.79 (0.20) | 0.84 (0.20) | 0.82 (0.31) | 1 | | | | | |
| OM (%) | 0.64 (0.34) | 0.71 (0.33) | 0.68 (0.48) | 0.98* (0.02) | 1 | | | | |
| TN (%) | 0.58 (0.49) | 0.61 (0.46) | 0.62 (0.58) | 0.91 (0.08) | 0.93 (0.06) | 1 | | | |
| AV. P (mg kg ⁻¹) | 0.56 (0.48) | 0.61 (0.47) | 0.60 (0.59) | 0.93 (0.07) | 0.96* (0.03) | 0.99* (0.006) | 1 | | |
| EX. K (cmol.kg ⁻¹) | 0.88 (0.07) | 0.93 (0.07) | 0.90 (0.16) | 0.95* (0.05) | 0.88 (0.11) | 0.73 (0.27) | 0.76 (0.26) | 1 | |
| CEC (cmol.kg ⁻¹) | 0.62 (0.42) | 0.66 (0.40) | 0.66 (0.52) | 0.95* (0.04) | 0.97* (0.002) | 0.99* (0.009) | 0.99* (0.002) | 0.80* 0.05 | 1 |

Value in the brackets is p-value, *,** significant level of p< 0.05 and p<0.01, TN= Total Nitrogen, AV. P= available phosphorus, Ex.K = exchangeable potassium

CONCLUSIONS

Application of 50% NPK + 50% PM and 100% PM improved soil chemical properties and nutrient uptake of maize and soybean. Soil OM, total N, available P and CEC were high in sole soybean and intercropping with maize. Intercropping reduced nutrient uptake of soybean but had no significant effect on nutrient uptake of maize. Therefore an integrated soil fertility management, specifically, the combined application of PM with inorganic fertilizer and intercropping soybean with maize can increase pH, OM, and soil nutrient availability in comparison with monocropped maize with 100% inorganic fertilizer. In addition this practice can result in a reduced cost of production.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World (OWSD), Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), for sponsoring the study.

REFERENCES

- Adekeyode, F. O. ,and M.O. Ogunkoya. 2011. Comparative effects of organic compost and NPK fertilizer on soil fertility, yield and quality of amaranth in Southwest Nigeria. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences*. 5(2): 490-499.

- Adeniyan, O., and S. Ojeniyi. 2005. Comparative effectiveness of different levels of poultry manure with NPK fertilizer on residual soil fertility, nutrient uptake and yield of maize. *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research*. 4(2): 191-197.
- Ahmad, W., Z. Shah, F. Khan, S. Ali, and W. Malik. 2013. Maize yield and soil properties as influenced by integrated use of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers in a low fertility soil. *Soil and Environment*. 32(2): 121-129.
- Ali, A., N. B. Ibrahim, and M. Usman. 2015. Yield of maize-soybean intercrop and soil properties as influenced by fertilizer in the Southern Guinea Savanna Zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 5(7): 1-8.
- Amoah, A. A, S. Miyagawa, and N. Kawakubo. 2012. Effect of supplementing inorganic fertilizer with organic fertilizer on growth and yield of rice-cowpea mixed crop. *Plant Production Science*. 15 (2): 109-117.
- Amusan, A. O., M. T. Adetunji, J. O. Azeez, and J. G. Bodunde. 2011. Effect of the integrated use of legume residue, poultry manure and inorganic fertilizers on maize yield, nutrient uptake and soil properties. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 90(3): 321-330.
- AOAC, 1995. *Official Methods of Analysis*, (16th Ed). P. Cuniff, (Ed.), AOAC International, Washington DC.
- Ayeni, L.S., and M.T. Adetunji. 2010. Integrated application of poultry manure and mineral fertilizer on soil chemical properties, nutrient uptake, yield and growth components of maize. *Nature and Science*. 8(1): 60-67.
- Bationo, A. (Ed.). 2007. *Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities: Challenges and Opportunities*. Netherlands: Springer..
- Boateng, S. A., J. Zickermann, and M. Kornahrens. 2006. Poultry manure effect on growth and yield of maize. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*. 9(1): 12-18.
- Bray, R.H., and L.T. Kurtz. 1945. Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science*. 59: 39-45.
- Bronick, C. J., and R. Lal. 2005. Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma*. 124 (1): 3-22.
- Chapman, H.D. 1965. Cation exchange capacity by ammonium saturation, pp. 891-901. In: *Method of Soil Analysis*, ed. C.A. Black, L.E. Ensminger and F.E. Clark. American Society of Agronomy, Madison Wisconsin, USA.

- CIMMYT. (2013). Maize CRP Annual Report for the Consortium and the Fund Council Research Program on Maize Mexico: CGIAR.(not cited in text)
- Datt, N., Y.P. Dubey, and R. Chaudhary. 2013. Studies on impact of organic, inorganic and integrated use of nutrients on symbiotic parameters, yield, quality of French-bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) vis-à-vis soil properties of an acid Alfisol. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 8(22): 2645-2654.
- Day, P. R. 1965. Particle fractionation and particle-size analysis. In: Methods of Soil Analysis, ed. C. A. Black. *Agronomy*. 9: 545-567.
- Dekisissa, T. I Short, and J. Allen. 2008. Effect of soil amendment with compost on growth and water use efficiency of Amarnath. In: *Proc. of the UCOWR/NIWR Annual Conf.: Int Water Res.: Challenges for the 21st Century and Water Resources Education*, July 22-24, 2008, Durham, NC.
- Dubey, P., C. Pandey, S. Shakoor, A. Khanday, and G. Mishra. 2012. Effect of integrated fertilization on nutrient uptake, protein content and yield of fenugreek. *International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*. 2(1): 1-12.
- Islam, M. R., M.A.H. Chowdhury, B.K. Saha, and M.M. Hasan 2013. Integrated fertilization on soil fertility, growth and yield of tomato. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ*. 11(1):33-40.
- Jimenez, R.R., and J.K. Ladho. 1993 Automated elemental analysis: A rapid and reliable but expensive measurement of total carbon and nitrogen in plant and soil samples. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 24 (15-16): 1897-1924.
- Khan, M.A., J. Chen, Q. Li, W. Zhang, L. Wu, Z. Li, and W. Lin. 2014. Effect of interspecific root interaction on soil nutrition, enzymatic activity and rhizosphere biology in maize/peanut intercropping system. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci*. 51(2): 395-406.
- Knight, R. 2012. *Linking Research and Marketing Opportunities for Pulses in the 21st Century: Proceedings of the Third International Food Legumes Research Conference* (Vol. 34): Springer Science & Business Media.(not cited in text???)
- Masaka, J., J. Nyamangara, and M. Wuta., 2015. Effect of inorganic and organic fertilizer application on nitrate leaching in wetland soil under field tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) and Leaf Rape (*Brassica napus*). *Agricultural Research*, 4(1): 63-75.
- Matusso, J.M.M., J.N. Mugwe and M. Mucheru-Muna. 2013. Effects of different maize (*Zea mays* L.) soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) intercropping patterns on yields and land equivalent ratio. *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*. 4(4): 48-57.

- Matusso, J.M.M. J.N. Mugwe ,and M. Mucheru-Muna. 2014. Potential role of cereal-legume intercropping systems in integrated soil fertility management in smallholder farming systems of Sub-Saharan Africa. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Management*. 3(3): 162-174
- Miyazawa, K., T. Murakami, M. Takeda, and T. Murayama. 2010. Intercropping green manure crops effects on rooting patterns. *Plant and Soil*. 331(1-2):, 231-239.
- Negassa, W. F. Getaneh, A. Deressa and B. Dinsa. 2007. *Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers for maize production. Utilization of diversity in land use systems: Sustainable and organic approaches to meet human needs*. A paper presented on Tropentag, October 9 - 11, Witzenhausen.
- Palm, C. A., R. J. Myers, and S. M. Nandwa. 1997. Combined use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources for soil fertility maintenance and replenishment. *Replenishing soil Fertility in Africa*, 193-217.
- Prajapat, K., A. K. Vyas, and S. Dhar. 2015. Effect of cropping systems and fertilization practices on growth, productivity, economics and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max*). *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*,. 85(9): Page nos????.
- Roy, R.N., A. Finck, G.J. Blair, and H.L.S. Tandon. 2006. Plant nutrition for food security, a guide for integrated nutrient management. *FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin* 16. FAO, Rome.
- Shashidhar, C.U., H.K. Veeranna, Y.M. Ramesh, P.R. Somashekarappa, and V. Mahantesh. 2009. Effect of different fertilisation practices on yield, economics and nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Research on Ccrops*. 10 (2): 27-230.
- Sharma, N.K. R.J. Singh, and K. Kumar., (2012). Dry matter accumulation and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticuma estivum* L.) under poplar (*Populus deltoides*) Based Agroforestry System. *ISRN Agronomy*. 1212: 1-7.
- Tagoe, S.O., T. Horiuchi, and T. Matsui. 2008. Effects of carbonized and dried chicken manures on the growth, yield, and N content of soybean. *Plant and Soil*. 306 (1-2): 211-220.
- Tesfa, B., D. Tolessa, G. Setegn, T. Tamado, G. Negash, and W. Tenaw. 2001. *Development of Appropriate Cropping Systems for Various Maize Producing Regions of Ethiopia*. Second National Maize Workshop of Ethiopia. 12-16 November, 2001., Addis Ababa.

- Van Reeuwijk, L.P. 1992. *Procedures for Soil Analysis* (3rd Ed.). International Soil Reference Center (ISRIC), Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Verde, B.S., B.O. Danga, and J.N. Mugwe. 2013. Effects of manure, lime and mineral P fertilizer on soybean yields and soil fertility in a humic Nitisols in the central highlands of Kenya. *International Journal of Agricultural Science Research*. 2: 283-291.
- Verma, A., V. Nepalia, and P. C. Kanthaliya. 2006., Effect of integrated nutrient supply on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by maize-wheat cropping system., *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 51 (1): 3-6.
- Vidyavathi G, S. Dasog, H.B. Babalad, N.S. Hebsur, S.K. Gali, S.G. Patil, and A.R. Alagawadi. 2012a. Influence of fertilization practices on crop response and economics in different cropping systems in a Vertisol. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* . 24(4):455-460.
- Vidyavathi, V., G. Dasog, H. Babalad, N.S.Hebsur, S.K. Gali, S. G. Patil, and A.R. Alagawadi., 2012b. Nutrient status of soil under different nutrient and crop management practices. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 25 (2): page nos????.
- Zerihun, A., J. Sharma, D. Nigussie, and K. Fred. 2013. The effect of integrated organic and inorganic fertilizer rates on performances of soybean and maize component crops of a soybean/maize mixture at Bako, Western Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 8(29): 3921-3929
- Zhao, Y., P. Wang, J. Li, Y. Chen, X. Ying, and S. Liu. 2009. The effect of two organic manures on soil properties and crop yields on a temperate calcareous soil under a wheat-maize cropping system. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 31: 36-42.